

# **Minutes of the Chineham Parish Council Planning Committee**

Date: Monday 25th April 2022 Time: 7.00pm

Place: Community Room, Chineham Village Hall, Thornhill Way, Chineham

**Present:** Cllr. Paul Miller (Chair) Cllr. Kirsty Giles

Cllr. Sue Fuller (Vice Chair) Cllr. Shane Bennett

Cllr. Marian Adams Ellen Harmon (Assistant Clerk)

1. Apologies

There were no apologies.

2. Minutes of the previous Planning Committee meeting

The minutes of the meeting held on 28th March 2022 were signed as a correct record of that meeting.

### 3. New applications

## 3.1 22/00965/HSE 39 Mayflower Close

Loft conversion including installation of 1 no. flat roof dormer to the rear elevation, 2 no. roof-lights to the front elevation and repositioning of 2 no. existing roof-lights to the front elevation, to form additional habitable space.

The Chineham Parish Council has no objections.

# 3.2 <u>22/00938/FUL</u> The Chineham District Centre Chineham Shopping Centre

Change of Use to flexible, combined Class E and Class F1 Use office/education

The Chineham Parish Council has no objections.

#### 3.3 <u>T/00146/22/TPO</u> 9 Parkwood Close

T2 Oak: Fell.

This application should be considered with T/00141/22/TPO 19 Tangway. In both these applications the same trees are under consideration. They are large, well-shaped, mature oaks with spreading crowns and are an important part of the line of similar trees along Cufaude Lane. The loss of these fine trees would seriously diminish the local amenity.

It should be noted that the labels T1 and T2 are transposed in T/00141/22/TPO.

It seems unusual that the building allegedly suffering from damage from these trees is not the nearest house, but the one next door, 17 Tangway. This might indicate inadequate construction.

It is noted that the trees were the subject of an application in 2014, (T00352/14/TPO) for similar reasons. This application to fell was granted on 20 Nov 2014 after a DC site visit on Nov 14 2014.

This application to reil was granted on 20 Nov 2014 after a DC site visit on Nov 14 2014.

This raises the question as to why the tree or trees were not felled then and are still standing after seven years and perhaps further damage to 17 Tangway.



It is requested that the Tree Officer investigates very carefully the integrity of these applications and that the applications be called in for consideration by Development Control Committee.

Chineham Parish Council strongly endorses the comments above, made by the Tree Warden. CPC firmly believes that the BDBC Tree Officer should further investigate the integrity of this TPO – CPC will add no further comment pending a full report from BDBC tree officer.

### 3.4 <u>T/00141/22/TPO</u> **19 Tangway**

T2 English Oak: Fell.

This application should be considered with T/00146/22/TPO 9 Parkwood Close. In both these applications the same trees are under consideration. They are large, well-shaped, mature oaks with spreading crowns and are an important part of the line of similar trees along Cufaude Lane. The loss of these fine trees would seriously diminish the local amenity.

It should be noted that the labels T1 and T2 are transposed in T/00146/22/TPO.

It seems unusual that the building allegedly suffering from damage from these trees is not the nearest house, but the one next door. This might indicate inadequate construction.

It is noted that the trees were the subject of an application in 2014, (T00352/14/TPO) for similar reasons. This application to fell was granted on 20 Nov 2014 after a DC site visit on Nov 14 2014.

This raises the question as to why the tree or trees were not felled then and are still standing after seven years and perhaps further damage to 17 Tangway.

It is requested that the Tree Officer investigates very carefully the integrity of these applications and that the applications be called in for consideration by Development Control Committee.

Chineham Parish Council strongly endorses the comments above, made by the Tree Warden. CPC firmly believes that the BDBC Tree Officer should further investigate the integrity of this TPO – CPC will add no further comment pending a full report from BDBC tree officer.

#### 3.5 T/00113/22/TPO 51 Minden Close

T4 Oak Tree: Prune.

This application seems incomplete as no reasons are given for the suggested works.

T4 is a mature oak with a well-shaped, symmetrical crown. It is part of the attractive line of similar trees to the rear of Nos. 51 - 53. The tree does not impinge on nearby buildings.

To reduce this tree by the suggested 6m would be excessive. Such a drastic reduction would damage the local amenity and the important sylvan landscape of Minden Close.

It is suggested that work be limited to the minimum considered necessary by the Tree Officer to maintain safety and the health of this fine tree.



#### 3.6 T/00079/22/TPO 42 Minden Close

T1 Quercus robur (Common Oak): Prune.

T2 Quercus robur (Common Oak): Prune.

T1 is a mature oak with a tall, spreading and well-shaped canopy. The tree is part of the important sylvan landscape of Minden Close and its canopy above the house roof adds to the amenity of the street scene. The crown reduction suggested in the excellent photo diagram would appear an unnecessary reduction of this fine tree. There is, of course, no objection to removal of dead wood but it is suggested that any crown reduction is limited to that considered by the BDBC Tree Officer to be needed for safety and the health of the tree.

T2, in front of the house is a fine, well-shaped mature oak adding much to the amenity of Minden Close and there would seem to be no reason for the suggested canopy reduction, as the tree does not impinge on the house. There is no objection to removal of dead wood but it is suggested that any crown reduction is limited to that considered by the BDBC Tree Officer to be needed for safety and for the health of the tree.

- 4. To note updates to the following existing applications
  (\* CPC OBJECTED # CPC NO OBJECTION ^ CPC COMMENTS)
- 4.1 <u>21/03742/RET</u> Chineham Medical Practice

Granted #

4.2 <u>22/00219/FUL</u> Chineham Point

Application withdrawn #

4.3 22/00231/HSE 8 Brookfield

Granted #

4.4 <u>22/00469/HSE</u> 42 Thyme Close

Granted #

4.5 <u>T/00073/22/TPO</u> 14 Cibbons Road

Granted #

5. Planning Administration including an update on compliance & enforcement issues

There were no new updates.

6. Date of next meeting:

7.00pm on Monday 9th May 2022 Community Room, Chineham Village Hall, Thornhill Way (TBC)

Cianad	
Signed	 